Capturing (via automation) the Sequential Processing Levels along multiple Full-paths of Magnetotellurics Data Use
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SUMMARY
With easier access to larger computational capacity, as well as a greater demand for transparency in science, there is a growing need amongst the Australian and international Magnetotellurics (MT) community for original field data and less processed time series data to be formally published and made more readily available online in a FAIR manner to facilitate data reuse and repurposing and engender trust in the higher-level data products. Exposing the Full-path of MT data use [1] and being able to track back to the original data acquisition parameters, including the instrument used and any field metadata is also critical for enabling transparency and due diligence assessments of the more highly processed transfer functions and model outputs.

ACCESSING THE FULL-PATH OF MT DATA
The Full-path of any data use extends from data capture, data access and management, data analysis and modelling, through data and model intercomparisons together with data provenance systems. For MT, the different processing levels along the Full-path of data use, from the original field data acquisition to the manicured (edited, calibrated, resampled) time series to processed transfer functions to model inputs to model outputs are listed in Table 1 ([2, 3]). Each level needs to be distinguished and captured in a reproducible manner so that researchers can confidently utilise MT data at any stage they desire, as the provenance of each level can be accessed.

In Australia there already exists a large volume of publicly funded MT time series datasets and even greater volumes will be collected into the future. However, very little of this lower level time series is discoverable or accessible online, and it is usually only distributed on hard drives: the focus of MT research and publications is biased towards the more highly evolved data products.

RATIONALE
Having the less processed MT time series data accessible will facilitate future re-analysis and analytical reproducibility, validation of statistical models and comparisons of findings. Additionally, there are many potential data processing advantages that could be investigated including enhancing data quality through data selection; better understanding of noise sources; computation of the full error covariance of the impedance estimates; assessment of results using different approaches and codes; and merging of multiple surveys, particularly when running national scale analysis. To leverage these opportunities, time series data needs to be more accessible and comply with the FAIR principles, preferably co-located next to HPC compute so that as data is generated, it can be easily captured, ingested and processed or re-processed rapidly.

Inevitably there will be multiple versions of each level of the MT data and therefore automations must be developed so that each level of MT processing along multiple paths can be carefully constructed from the previous in a reproducible manner. Fundamental to these automations are international agreements on what data formats and vocabularies are to be used, and what metadata is required at each level.

A PROPOSAL
The Australian Research Data Commons (ARDC) funded Geoscience Data Enhanced Virtual Laboratories (GeoDeVL) project is working towards stabilising, automating and increasing the consistency and quality of the different data levels.
and products in the MT data life cycle. It is important to recognise that it is quite likely that each level could have different teams producing it. By separating and applying a persistent identifier and creating a landing page for each sequential layer it is possible to attribute all those individuals and organisations that were involved in creating each layer, as well as those that provided funding. In particular, for the level 0 layers, the specific individual instrument that was used to acquire the data can be recorded, as well as all those who contributed anything to collecting the data in the field, including those that dug the holes!

Table 1: The sequential levels of MT data processing (from [2,3])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing Levels</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Collection / Processed By</th>
<th>Typical Volumes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Packed Raw Data</td>
<td>Raw Time Series</td>
<td>Original field data streamed from site data loggers</td>
<td>Single researcher or research team</td>
<td>GBs to TBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 0</td>
<td>Edited Time Series</td>
<td>Time ordered instrument recorded data (e.g., raw voltages, counts) at full resolution</td>
<td>Single researcher or research team</td>
<td>GBs to TBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1A</td>
<td>Calibrated Time Series</td>
<td>Level 0 data that have been calibrated in a reversible manner and packaged with associated calibration equations</td>
<td>Single researcher or research team</td>
<td>GBs to TBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1B</td>
<td>Resampled Time Series</td>
<td>Level 0 or 1A data that have been irreversibly transformed (e.g., resampled, noisy data removed, filters applied)</td>
<td>Can be processed by anyone with access to L1A</td>
<td>GBs to TBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Derived Frequency Domain Processed Data (e.g., EDI)</td>
<td>Geophysical parameters (e.g., impedance tensors) derived from frequency domain time series processing of Level 1A or 1B data</td>
<td>Can be processed by anyone with access to L1A or L1B</td>
<td>MBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3A</td>
<td>Derived modelling inputs</td>
<td>Level 2 parameters converted into input files for modelling and inversion algorithms</td>
<td>Can be processed by anyone with access to L2</td>
<td>MBs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3B</td>
<td>Derived modelling outputs</td>
<td>Level 2 parameters mapped onto space-time grids</td>
<td>Can be processed by anyone with access to L2 or L3A</td>
<td>MBs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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