

Global Patterns in Research Data Management and Storage

Regional contrasts and trends emerging from multi-year benchmarking at UNSW

Tom Honeyman, Project Manager,
Research Data Experience Program, UNSW

eResearch Australasia 2025



Timeline of Prior Work

Year	Focus	Key Outcome
2022	Research Data Culture Conversation	Holdings large (100s PB), unmanaged, and growing exponentially
2023	Research Data Reference Architecture	Dimensions of good practice



Today



Why Regional Comparison Matters

Governance, law,
and funding
conditions define
service logic.

Comparing systems
reveals design
logics, not rankings.

Regional
contrasts
expose what
each system
optimises for:

- compliance,
- openness,
- performance, or
- scale.

Australia: Baseline Characterisation

Regulatory anchor:

- State Records Acts → GA47 (NSW) / PROS 16/07 (VIC) / etc.

Lifecycle automation:

- metadata triggers migration across storage tiers.

Federated national fabric:

- Nectar Research Cloud, NCRIS Commons, AARNet FileSender, institutional repositories and RDS.

Common tool spine:

- LabArchives, REDCap, Figshare, M365, FileSender.

Distinctive strength:

- compliance encoded in technology and cost models.

Europe / UK Contrast — FAIR by Design

Governance:

- via GDPR and FAIR principles, not statutory record law.

Infrastructure:

- SURF & EUDAT federations using Ceph / OpenStack.

Typical stack:

- DSpace, Invenio RDM, openBIS, RSpace.

Integration excellence:

- retention less formalised.

Focus:

- metadata interoperability
- open science alignment.

North America Contrast — Automation and Autonomy at Scale

Institution-
centric:

- minimal national coordination.

Stack:

- Globus, Dataverse, Benchling, Flywheel, Box.

Strengths:

- automation, HPC integration, rapid data transfer.

Gaps:

- limited retention frameworks, opaque cost recovery.

Asia Contrast — Cloud-Led Expansion and Consolidation

Rapid growth:

- through AWS / Azure / Alibaba partnerships.

Stack:

- LabArchives, REDCap, Figshare, cloud object store.

Governance:

- still forming; emphasis on capacity over policy.

Examples:

- NII RDM Platform (GakuNin)
- Tsinghua Research Data Cloud
- NUS Figshare.

Regional Comparative Snapshot

Region	Governance Driver	Technical Model	Strength	Gap
Australia	Statutory record law (GA47)	Federated, policy-aware	Compliance + automation	Limited openness
Europe / UK	GDPR + FAIR policy	Federated object storage	Interoperability	Hard retention rules
North America	Institutional autonomy	HPC + Globus fabric	Performance + automation	Policy linkage
Asia	Rapid cloud growth	Commercial clouds	Scale and capacity	Governance maturity

Global Trend Lines

Automation & APIs:

- lifecycle transitions triggered by metadata.

Policy encoding:

- retention and compliance machine-readable (e.g., GA47 metadata schemas).

Metadata continuity:

- persistent identifiers maintained end-to-end.

Hybrid cloud normalisation:

- on-prem object stores + cloud tiers by policy.

Emerging Differentiators

Cost and carbon intelligence:

- dashboards integrate power, storage, and budget metrics.

Federated national backbones:

- ARDC, SURF, EUDAT as shared capacity.

Trusted Research Environments:

- secure analysis for sensitive data.

Integrated identity and consent:

- IAM and data ethics converging.

Conclusion & Take-Aways

Australia anchors policy-aware compliance.

Europe advances FAIR interoperability.

North America and Asia drive automation and scale.

Closing message: metadata-linked, policy-encoded, lifecycle-aware infrastructure.